<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Chemical Engineering Journal 144 (2008) 221–226

Chemical Engineering Journal

www.elsevier.com/locate/cei

# Study of a photocatalytic oxidation and wet absorption combined process for removal of nitrogen oxides

Zhongbiao Wu ∗, Haiqiang Wang, Yue Liu, Boqiong Jiang, Zhongyi Sheng

*Department of Environmental Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China* Received 28 August 2007; received in revised form 5 December 2007; accepted 20 January 2008

## **Abstract**

A two-stage process incorporating photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) and wet absorption was developed in this study for the treatment of nitrogen  $\alpha$  oxides (NO $x$ ). At first, nitric oxide was converted to nitrogen dioxide by PCO. Then the nitrogen oxides were absorbed effectively in a wet scrubber by using sulfite solution as an absorbent. The experimental results showed that the removal efficiency of NO*x* by this combined process could reach 75%, when the inlet concentration of nitrogen oxides was 240 ppm (200 ppm NO). It was also observed that NO*x* removal efficiency had a maximum value when the absorbent concentration (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) was 2 wt%. The addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> could effectively retard the excessive oxidation of sulfite by oxygen.  $0.5$  wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was considered the suitable inhibitor concentration. The main products of this combined process were nitrite and nitrate.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Combined process; Photocatalytic oxidation; NO*x*; Aqueous absorption; Sulfite

# **1. Introduction**

The emission of NO*x* into the atmosphere is a great environmental concern because of its detrimental effects (e.g., acid rain, photochemical smog and greenhouse effects) on mankind and various ecosystems. The components of NO*x* emissions from fuel combustion are mainly NO as well as small quantities of NO2. Various processes, including combustion modifications, dry processes and wet processes have been developed to remove NO from flue gases [\[1–9\].](#page-5-0)

Traditional wet processes need lower investment and operation costs compared to other flue gases treatment methods.  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ can be effectively absorbed in some aqueous solutions such as sulfite, while NO cannot be removed by wet processes because of its low solubility and reactivity in aqueous solutions [\[10–13\].](#page-5-0) There is particular interest in sulfite because it is produced by the traditional desulfurization scrubbers and reacts with  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ through a free radical mechanism. Thus, NO oxidation is a crucial step for the aqueous absorption of NO*x*.

1385-8947/\$ – see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.cej.2008.01.025](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2008.01.025)

The slow oxidation rate of NO in air can be improved by the addition of a strong oxidizing agent such as ozone  $(O_3)$  [\[14\],](#page-5-0) chlorine dioxide (ClO<sub>2</sub>) [\[15\], h](#page-5-0)ydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [\[16\]](#page-5-0) or chlorine  $(Cl<sub>2</sub>)$  [\[17\]. H](#page-5-0)owever, the addition of a strong oxidizing agent will increase the operation cost and the potential leakage of the oxidizing agent will bring new environmental problem. Thus, there is a need to develop an environmentally friendly and cost-effective oxidation method for the oxidation of NO.

Photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) may be a promising approach to convert NO to NO2. Heterogeneous photocatalysis has been shown to oxidize a wide range of contaminants in recent years [\[18,19\].](#page-5-0) Also, a lot of studies have been done with concerning the PCO of NO*x* in the ambient atmosphere (either outdoor or indoor air), using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  as a photocatalyst [\[20–23\]. F](#page-5-0)urthermore, Devahasdin et al. [\[24\]](#page-5-0) reported the transient behavior and reaction kinetics on the PCO of NO and discussed the feasibility for the treatment of NO*x* from flue gases. Recently, Barman and Philip [\[25\]](#page-5-0) reported an integrated system for the treatment of nitrogen oxides from flue gases, using PCO or ozone oxidation as a gas phase oxidation section coupled with a biofilter system.

In this study, the removal of  $NOx$  by a two-stage process incorporating photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) and wet absorption was investigated. TiO<sub>2</sub> nano-particles coating on woven glass fabric was used as the photocatalyst and sodium sulfite was

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 571 87952459; fax: +86 571 87953088. *E-mail address:* [zbwu@zju.edu.cn](mailto:zbwu@zju.edu.cn) (Z. Wu).



Fig. 1. Diagram of the combined process for nitrogen oxides treatment.

used as the absorbent. The performance of the combined process was evaluated under a simulated flue gases. The relationship between photocatalytic oxidation and removal efficiency was discussed. The ions concentrations in the aqueous absorbent were determined to investigate the reaction pathway and final products. Finally, an attempt to inhibit sulfite excessive oxidation by oxygen was carried out.

### **2. Experimental**

#### *2.1. Preparation of TiO2 catalysts*

Degussa P25 (Degussa Co. Ltd., Germany) was used as the photocatalyst. Immobilization was carried out by the dip-coating method. Five grams of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was first mixed with 100 mL deionized water to prepare slurry. Then the woven glass fabric  $(4 \text{ cm} \times 80 \text{ cm}, \text{pretreatment: } 500 \degree \text{C}, 1 \text{ h})$  was dip-coated with the TiO<sub>2</sub> slurry. The loaded fabric was dried at  $100\degree$ C for 1 h in an oven. This procedure was repeated three times, and the final immobilized catalyst was then dried at  $100\degree$ C for 24 h. The typical catalyst loading achieved by this approach was  $>1.0$  mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.

#### *2.2. Experimental set up*

The diagram of the experimental set up is shown in Fig. 1, which included the gas supply, photocatalytic oxidation reactor, wet scrubber system and analytical system.

The NO (Jingong Gas, China,  $10,000$  ppm), N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were mixed to obtain the desired concentration of NO*x* (200 ppm NO, 240 ppm NO*x*). The immobilized catalyst was set into a Pyrex reactor with "Z" type. The Pyrex reactor (volume: 340 mL; length: 30 cm) was irradiated with one Hg-arc lamp (500 W, Philips; the maximum light intensity: 365 nm; distance to reactor: 6 cm) outside. The Pyrex reactor and light source were set in a hollow chamber that was coated with tinfoil. The temperature of the reactor and the lamp were lowered by a fan. The reaction temperature in the reactor was held at  $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C, heated by the irradiation of the Hg-arc lamp. NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  were measured by a Kane International Limited Model KM-9106 flue gas analyzer. The relative humidity was determined by a relative humidity analyzer (Testo Co. Ltd., Model 605-H1).

The aqueous absorption section consisted of a packed-bed column scrubber unit made from Pyrex, and a solution-recycle system. The packed tower was a 60 cm long and 4 cm diameter column with a 50 cm section packed with 4 mm glass bleadings. The packed tower was linked with a vessel which served as the scrubbing solution reservoir. A peristaltic circulating pump (Baoding Longer Precision Pump Co. Ltd., BT01-100) was used to pump the absorbent from the reservoir. The recirculation rate of the absorption solution was  $3Lh^{-1}$ . NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the absorption solution were studied by an ion chromatogram (Dionex 500),  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> and  $S_2O_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> were determined by classical chemical analysis [\[13\].](#page-5-0)

The NO oxidation efficiency and the NO*x* removal efficiency are evaluated according to Eqs. (1) and (2).

Oxidation efficiency  $=$  (([NO]<sub>inlet, PCO</sub>)

$$
- [NO]_{\text{outlet,PCO}} / [NO]_{\text{inlet,PCO}}) \times 100
$$

(1)

Removal efficiency  $= (([NOx]_{inlet})$ 

$$
- [NOx]_{\text{outlet}}) / [NOx]_{\text{inlet}}) \times 100) \quad (2)
$$

### **3. Result and discussion**

## *3.1. PCO of nitrogen oxides*

The PCO reaction was started after the gas concentration was constant at the gas inlet and the gas outlet. [Fig. 2](#page-2-0) shows the PCO behavior of nitrogen oxides in 10 h irradiation. It indicates that the reaction approached a steady state after 60 min of irradiation. It was found that the nitrogen mass equilibrium was reached at the steady state:  $NO<sub>out</sub> + NO<sub>2,out</sub> = NO<sub>in</sub> + NO<sub>2,in</sub>$ , which indicated that the main product of PCO was nitrogen dioxide and

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Photocatalytic oxidation behavior of NO*x* in 10 h of irradiation (relative humidity, 70.0%; reaction temperature,  $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C; space time, 10 s).

that no other products were produced during this process. The oxidation efficiency of PCO was 63.9% at the steady state. The PCO of NO consisted of a series of oxidation steps by OH<sup>•</sup> and  $O_2^-: NO \rightarrow HNO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow HNO_3$ . If adequate  $HNO_3$  was adsorbed on the catalyst surface, the oxidation of NO terminated at the step of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  [\[24,26\].](#page-5-0)

The deactivation test of the photocatalyst was divided into five separated reaction parts and the duration of each part was 10 h, shown in Fig. 3. In the first part, the oxidation efficiency had an initial decline and then reached a steady state. This phenomenon might be attributed to the high initial rate of adsorption plus the reaction of NO. In the subsequent parts, the oxidation efficiency showed little decline in the test time. It was due to the slow accumulation of nitrate species on the catalyst surface which might have deactivated the catalyst. This slow accumulation rate might be the result of the high reaction temperature (80  $\pm$  5 °C) in the experiment. All these results proved that PCO was an effective and promising method for the oxidation of NO.



Fig. 3. Photocatalyst deactivation test in 50 h of irradiation (relative humidity, 70–75%; reaction temperature,  $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C; inlet NO concentration, 200 ppm; space time,  $10 s$ ).

#### *3.2. Removal of nitrogen oxides by absorption*

After the PCO of NO*x*, the modified exhaust gases were directed to an absorber containing the absorbent. At the inlet of the absorber, the NO*x* concentration was maintained at 240 ppm (NO, ca. 80 ppm;  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , ca. 160 ppm). Removal efficiencies of NO, NO2, and NO*x* were calculated with flue gases after the PCO section as the gas source.

The absorbent in the absorber was sodium sulfite  $(Na_2SO_3)$ , and the reaction between  $NO$ ,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and the absorbent is as follows [\[13,27,28\]:](#page-5-0)

NO (dissolved) 
$$
\frac{SO_3^{2-}}{O_3} - O_3SNO^{-}
$$
 (3)

NO (dissolved)<sup>$$
xH_2O
$$</sup> <sub>$\longrightarrow$</sub>  NO(H<sub>2</sub>O) <sub>$x$</sub>  <sup>$SO_3^{2-}$</sup>  - O<sub>3</sub>SNO<sup>-</sup> (4)

$$
NO2 + SO32- \rightarrow NO2- + SO3\bullet-
$$
 (5)

$$
NO2 + HSO3- \rightarrow NO2- + HSO3•
$$
 (6)

$$
2NO2 + H2O \rightarrow HNO2 + HNO3
$$
 (7)

$$
3HNO2 \leftrightarrow HNO3 + H2O + 2NO
$$
 (8)

As mentioned in Section [1,](#page-0-0) the absorption of NO was greatly restrained because of its low solubility. The relationship between the fraction of NO/NO*x* and NO*x* removal efficiency was investigated and the results are shown in Fig. 4. As observed, the NO*x* removal efficiency increased quickly with the increase of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ content in the exhaust gases. Without the oxidation step, the NO*x* removal efficiency by sodium sulfite was less than 10%. After the PCO, the highest removal efficiency reached 72.6%. Obviously, NO should be converted into  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  for effective removal.

[Fig. 5](#page-3-0) shows the variations of  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> concentration,  $NO_2^$ concentration, NO3 − concentration, pH value and NO*x* removal efficiency with time when  $2 wt\%$  Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> was used as absorbent. [Fig. 5a](#page-3-0) indicates that the NO*x* removal efficiency had little decline during first 60 min of absorption. After that, the declining rate was accelerated. After 120 min of reaction, the NO*x*



Fig. 4. Relations between oxidation state and removal efficiency (sodium sulfite concentration, 2 wt%).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 5. The variations of  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup>,  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup>,  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup>, pH value and  $NOx$  removal efficiency in 3 h of absorption (absorbent,  $2 w t \%$  Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>).

removal efficiency declined quickly from 60% to 30% in 20 min. It also showed that the pH value declined with the absorption time, and that the pH value had dramatic decline when pH value decreased below 7.0.  ${SO_3}^{2-}$  concentration variation in the liquid phase which was given in Fig. 5b verified this phenomenon. Sulfite ions in the liquid phase were consumed very fast in the first 90 min of absorption. After 90 min of absorption, the concentration of sulfite ions in liquid phase was very low and the rate of consumption began to decrease. The depletion of the absorbent took place after 150 min absorption.

It was found that the sulfite ion oxidation rate has a linear relation to oxygen concentration [\[27\].](#page-5-0) It was also reported that  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  reacted with sulfite through a free radical chain reaction mechanism, that one mole of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  absorbed could lead to the oxidation of 4 or more moles of sulfite in the presence of oxygen [\[11,27,29\].](#page-5-0) The high concentration of oxygen was favored for the PCO of NO [\[24,26\]. H](#page-5-0)owever, this would lead to an excessive consumption of the absorbent which has no positive effect on the removal of NO*x*. The dramatic decline of removal efficiency around pH 7.0 was attributed to the formation of  $HSO_3^-$  in the liquid phase. The p*K* value for the deprotonation of bisulfite is 7.19 (Eq. (9)). In general, free radicals react with  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> more

rapidly than with 
$$
HSO_3^-
$$
 [10]  
\n
$$
HSO_3^- \leftrightarrow H^+ + SO_3^{2-}
$$
\n(9)

Nitrite and nitrate were analyzed to verify the main products of the absorption reaction. Fig. 5b shows that nitrite ions in the liquid phase increased rapidly with the absorption time, while the content of nitrite ions augmented little after 120 min of absorption. However, the nitrate ion concentration in the liquid phase continued to increase with the absorption time. These results indicated that Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) was the key reaction in the absorption and that nitrite ions were the main product of absorption. With the decline of pH value, the reaction rates of Eqs. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) and [\(8\)](#page-2-0) were accelerated. The depletion of the absorbent and the formation of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  inhibited the production of nitrite ions.

Fig. 6 shows the influences of sodium sulfite concentrations on the NO*x* removal efficiency, ranging from 0.5 wt% to 20 wt% (0.04–1.59 M). It indicates that removal efficiency of nitrogen dioxide reached its highest value (near 100%) when the absorbent concentration was higher than 2 wt%. Meanwhile, the removal efficiency of NO declined after the absorbent concentration was higher than 2 wt%. This result proved that the increase of the liquid-phase reaction rate has little contribution to NO absorption because of its low solubility. It was also suggested that the salting-out effect would be considered when the sulfite concentration was higher than 0.2 M [\[11\]. T](#page-5-0)herefore, it was considered that the increased absorbent concentration would bring the salt-out effect which would decrease the solubility of gases in the aqueous solution. Hence, NO absorption efficiency declined after the concentration of sodium sulfite was higher than 2 wt%. As for  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , the salting-out effect could be neglected because of its high solubility.

From the study by Shen and Rochelle [\[13\],](#page-5-0) thiosulfate  $(S_2O_3^2$ ) was a strong inhibitor of sulfite oxidation. It was suggested that thiosulfate could act as a free radical scavenger and thus was able to terminate the sulfite oxidation through the following routes:

$$
\mathbf{R}^{\bullet} + \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{O}_3{}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{O}_3{}^{\bullet-} \tag{10}
$$



Fig. 6. The influences of absorbent concentration on NO*x* removal efficiency.



Fig. 7. The effects of inhibitor concentration on NO*x* removal efficiency.

$$
S_2O_3^{\bullet -} + S_2O_3^{\bullet -} \to S_4O_6^{2-} \tag{11}
$$

The removal efficiency of nitrogen oxides using sodium thiosulfate as an additive was shown in Fig. 7. It indicated that the effective absorption time was prolonged with the augment of the thiosulfate concentration. Unfortunately, high addition concentration of thiosulfate would increase the operation cost of the combined process. Thus 0.5 wt% addition concentration of thiosulfate could be a suitable value.

Fig. 8 shows that the NO*x* removal efficiency and the pH value had little decline after 180 min of absorption. Furthermore, the pH value had a slight initial increase and then decreased with time. Fig. 9 shows that the consumption rate of sulfite ion decreased greatly compared to the absorption without the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. As seen, the consumption of S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions was also very low in 3 h absorption. This proves that the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> inhibited the excessive oxidation of SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2–</sup> by oxygen and retained the removal efficiency of NO*x* effectively.

Fig. 9 shows the variation of  $NO_2^-$  concentration and  $NO_3^$ concentration in the liquid phase together. The increase rate



Fig. 8. The variations of pH value and NO*x* removal efficiency in 3 h of absorption (absorbent,  $2 wt\%$  Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> + 0.5 wt $\%$  Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).

of nitrite ion concentration increased quickly with the absorption time, while the nitrate ion concentration in the liquid phase showed a little increase during the continuing reaction. This phenomenon confirmed that the formation of nitrate ion was slow under high pH value. It verified that the nitrite ion was the main product of this two-stage process.

In general, with this two-stage process, the nitrogen oxides could be removed effectively. Also, the combined process offered the following advantages: (1) the environmentally friendly and economical gas-phase oxidation; (2) no extra reactants such as  $NH_3$  or  $O_3$  required. However, a number of salts in the absorption solution, such as nitrite, nitrate and nitrogen-sulfur compounds were formed [\[30\].](#page-5-0) Thus, appropriate treatment methods might be necessary for the exhausted solutions that contain high levels of sulfate, nitrite, nitrate, nitrogen-sulfur compounds and so on. Fortunately, the treatments of nitrite, nitrate and nitrogen-sulfur compounds in the aqueous solution have been studied and many methods could be selected, such as aqueous oxidation [\[32\], l](#page-5-0)iquid-phase catalysis [\[31\], e](#page-5-0)vaporation (or distillation), ion exchange, biological denitrification, and so on [\[32,33\].](#page-5-0) Furthermore, the best treatment method of nitrite and nitrate was to have them removed from the scrubbing liquor as alkaline-metal salt precipitates for industrial reuse.

Overall, this combined process only required one additional unit (PCO section) in traditional wet scrubbers for the NO*x* removal, and the by-products of wet desulfurization (sulfite salt) could be used as the absorbent for the absorption of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . Therefore, it would be a promising method to control NO*x* emissions from flue gases.

## **4. Conclusion**

A combined process that incorporated the PCO and wet absorption to remove nitrogen oxides from flue gases was developed. The reaction mechanism and the effects of operation conditions were then carried out. The following conclusion can be drawn:



Fig. 9. The variations of  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup>,  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup>,  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup>, and  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> concentration in 3 h absorption (absorbent,  $2 w t \%$  Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> + 0.5 wt% Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>(1) The combined process proposed in this study was effective for the removal of NO*x*. The removal efficiency of NO*x* for the combined process could reach around 75%, when the inlet concentration of nitrogen oxides was 240 ppm (200 ppm NO).
- (2) At the steady state, the main product of PCO was nitrogen dioxide and no other products were formed during this process. The observed photocatalyst deactivation was not evident after 50 h of reaction because of the low accumulation rate of nitrate species on the catalyst surface. It proved that PCO was an effective and promising method for the oxidation of NO.
- (3) For the removal of NO*x*, the considered absorbent concentration (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) was 2 wt%. The removal efficiency of NO declined after the absorbent concentration was higher than 2 wt%. This decline was attributed to the salt-out effect brought by the increased absorbent concentration.
- (4) Oxygen needed for the PCO of NO would lead to an excessive consumption of the absorbent, since the rate of depletion of the absorbent was much faster than that expected by reaction stoichiometry. The addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  could retard the excessive oxidation of sulfite by oxygen, and  $0.5$  wt.%  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  was considered a suitable inhibitor concentration.

## **Acknowledgements**

This project was financially supported by the New Century Excellent Scholar Program of Ministry of Education of China (NCET-04-0549).

#### **References**

- [1] W.Y. Chen, L. Tang, Variables, kinetics and mechanisms of heterogeneous reburning, AICHE J. 47 (2001) 2781–2797.
- [2] M. Radojevic, Reduction of nitrogen oxides in flue gases, Environ. Pollut. 102 (1998) 685–689.
- [3] J.M. Beer, Combustion technology developments in power generation in response to environmental challenges, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 26 (2000) 301–327.
- [4] A.M. Efstathiou, K. Fliatoura, Selective catalytic reduction of nitric oxide with ammonia over  $V_2O_5/TiO_2$  catalyst: a steady-state and transient kinetic study, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 6 (1995) 35–59.
- [5] N.A.S. Amin, C.M. Chong, SCR of NO with  $C_3H_6$  in the presence of excess O<sub>2</sub> over Cu/Ag/CeO<sub>2</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, Chem. Eng. J. 113 (2005) 13-25.
- [6] R.M. Heck, Catalytic abatement of nitrogen oxides—stationary applications, Catal. Today 53 (1999) 519–523.
- [7] L. Wang, W.R. Zhao, Z.B. Wu, Simultaneous absorption of NO and  $SO<sub>2</sub>$ by Fe<sup>II</sup>EDTA combined with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution, Chem. Eng. J. 132 (2007) 227–232.
- [8] D. Thomas, J. Vanderschuren, Analysis and prediction of the liquid phase composition for the absorption of nitrogen oxides into aqueous solutions, Sep. Purif. Technol. 18 (2000) 37–45.
- [9] H. Chu, T.W. Chien, B.W. Twu, The absorption kinetics of NO in NaClO<sub>2</sub>/NaOH solutions, J. Hazard. Mater. B84 (2001) 241-252.
- [10] C.L. Clifton, N. Altstein, R.E. Hule, Rate constants for the reaction of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ with sulfur(IV) over the pH range 5.3–13, Environ. Sci. Technol. 22 (1988) 586–589.
- [11] H. Takeuchi, M. Ando, N. Kizawa, Absorption of nitrogen oxides in aqueous sodium sulfite and bisulfite solutions, Ind. Eng. Chem. Proc. Des. Dev. 16 (1977) 303–308.
- [12] L. Chen, J.W. Lin, C.L. Yang, Absorption of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in a packed tower with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, Environ. Prog. 21 (2002) 225–230.
- [13] C.H. Shen, G.T. Rochelle, Nitrogen dioxide absorption and sulfite oxidation in aqueous sulfite, Environ. Sci. Technol. 32 (1998) 1994–2003.
- [14] Y.S. Mok, Absorption–reduction technique assisted by ozone injection and sodium sulfide for NO*x* removal from exhaust gas, Chem. Eng. J. 118 (2006) 63–67.
- [15] D.S. Jin, B.R. Deshwal, Y.S. Park, H.K. Lee, Simultaneous removal of SO2 and NO by wet scrubbing using aqueous chlorine dioxide solution, J. Hazard. Mater. B 135 (2006) 412–417.
- [16] J.M. Haywood, C.D. Cooper, The economic feasibility of using hydrogen peroxide for the enhanced oxidation and removal of nitrogen oxides from coal-fired power plant flue gases, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc. 48 (1998) 238–246.
- [17] C.L. Yang, L. Chen, Oxidation of nitric oxide in a two-stage chemical scrubber using dc corona discharge, J. Hazard. Mater. B80 (2000) 135– 146.
- [18] I.J. Ochuma, R.P. Fishwick, J. Wood, J.M. Winterbottom, Photocatalytic oxidation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in water using a cocurrent downflow contactor reactor (CDCR), J. Hazard. Mater. 144 (2007) 627–633.
- [19] C.H. Ao, S.C. Lee, Enhancement effect of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  immobilized on activated carbon filter for the photodegradation of pollutants at typical indoor air level, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 44 (2003) 191–205.
- [20] F.B. Li, X.Z. Li, C.H. Ao, M.F. Hou, S.C. Lee, Photocatalytic conversion of NO using TiO<sub>2</sub>–NH<sub>3</sub> catalysts in ambient air environment, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 54 (2004) 275–283.
- [21] H. Ichiura, T. Kitaoka, H. Tanaka, Photocatalytic oxidation of NO*x* using composite sheets containing  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and a metal compound, Chemosphere 51 (2003) 855–860.
- [22] J.S. Dalton, P.A. Janes, N.G. Jones, J.A. Nicholson, K.R. Hallam, G.C. Allen, Photocatalytic oxidation of NO $x$  gases using TiO<sub>2</sub>: a surface spectroscopic approach, Environ. Pollut. 120 (2002) 415–422.
- [23] K. Hashimoto, K. Wasada, M. Osaki, E. Shono, K. Adachi, N. Toukai, H. Kominami, Y. Kera, Photocatalytic oxidation of nitrogen oxide over titania–zeolite composite catalyst to remove nitrogen oxides in the atmosphere, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 30 (2001) 429–436.
- [24] S. Devahasdin, C. Fan Jr., K. Li, D.H. Chen, TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic oxidation of nitric oxide: transient behavior and reaction kinetics, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 156 (2003) 161–170.
- [25] S. Barman, L. Philip, Integrated system for the treatment of oxides of nitrogen from flue gases, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006) 1035–1041.
- [26] H.O. Wang, Z.B. Wu, W.R. Zhao, B.H. Guan, Photocatalytic oxidation of nitrogen oxides using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  loading on woven glass fabric, Chemosphere 66 (2007) 185–190.
- [27] D. Littlejohn, Y.Z. Wang, S.G. Chang, Oxidation of aqueous sulfite ion by nitrogen dioxide, Environ. Sci. Technol. 27 (1993) 2162–2167.
- [28] T.L. Nunes, R.E. Powell, Kinetics of the reaction of nitric oxide with sulfite, Inorg. Chem. 8 (1970) 1916–1917.
- [29] H. Takeuchi, K. Takahashi, N. Kizawa, Absorption of nitrogen dioxide in sodium sulfite solution from air as a diluent, Ind. Eng. Chem. Proc. Des. Dev. 16 (1977) 486–490.
- [30] D. Littlejohn, S.G. Chang, Oxidative decomposition of nitrogen-sulfur oxides, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 33 (1994) 515–518.
- [31] A. Pintar, G. Berěiě, J. Levec, Catalytic liquid-phase nitrite reduction: kinetics and catalyst deactivation, AICHE J. 44 (1998) 2280–2292.
- [32] A. Kapoor, T. Viraraghavan, Nitrate removal from drinking water—review, J. Environ. Eng. 123 (1997) 371–380.
- [33] Y.G. Adewuyi, S.O. Owusu, Aqueous absorption and oxidation of nitric oxide with oxone for the treatment of tail gases: process feasibility, stoichiometry, reaction pathways, and absorption rate, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42 (2003) 4084–4100.